

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/512,621	. 02/25/2000	Harlan Sexton	50277-258	7347 .
7	590 07/27/2005		EXAM	INER
ATTEN: STEPHEN C. CARLSON			HO, ANDY	
DITTTHAVONG & CARLSON, P.C. 10507 BRADDOCK RD			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE A			2194	
FAIRFAX, VA 22032			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

X.		**				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan.	09/512,621	SEXTON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Andy Ho	2194				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 h	<u>lay 2005</u> .					
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-15 and 17-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-15 and 17-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 5/4/2005, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. Responsive to Applicant's arguments, new grounds of rejection are set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
 - (2) request reinstatement of the appeal.

If reinstatement of the appeal is requested, such request must be accompanied by a supplemental appeal brief, but no new amendments, affidavits (37 CFR 1.130, 1.131 or 1.132) or other evidence are permitted. See 37 CFR 1.193(b)(2).

2. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-23 have been examined and are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2194

3. Claims 1-6, 8-15, 17-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell U.S Patent No. 6,480,877 in view of Schofield U.S Patent No. 6,308,225.

As to claim 1, O'Donnell teaches a method comprising

accessing a definition of an object (proc, line 31 of code table columns 5-6) in terms of a composition of one or more primitive types (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6);

accessing a platform-specific description of size (sizeof(struct pst_dynamic), line 26 of code table columns 7-8) of the one or more primitive types (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6); and

generating a layout (struct pst_status *proc, line 32 of code table columns 5-6) for the object (proc, line 31 of code table columns 5-6) in a high-order language (C programming language, lines 9-10 column 6) based on the definition of the object (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6) and the size (sizeof(struct pst_dynamic), line 26 of code table columns 7-8) of the one or more primitive types (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6).

O'Donnell does not explitcitly teach a run-time environment. However,
O'Donnell's invention is about identifying active processes to determine whether they
are orphan processes or not; based on the determination, the orphan processes would
be terminated and the computer system resources would be released for other
processes (lines 38-41 and 50-60 column 2). Therefore one of ordinary skill in the art
would conclude that the computer environment of O'Donnell is a run-time environment.

O'Donnell further does not explicitly teach accessing a platform-specific description of alignment of the primitive type. Schofield teaches a system of generating a layout for a data structure (lines 48-65 column 3) wherein the layout is based on accessing a platform-specific description of alignment of the primitive type (...the prep pointer holds the prepared CIN description of the parameter as generated by the PCU PREPARE function. The PCU PREPARE function is used to prepare individual data types based upon a CIN description of the data type. The PCU PREPARE function is called by the GLU INTERFACE PREPARE function. The alignment is the required alignment of the parameter (e.g., 1, 2, 4, or 8 bytes)..., lines 11-18 column 10; ... the request structure is packed by the GLU function using a function from a run-time library. The function, PCU PACK, packs an individual data structure. PCU PACK converts a CIN description of a particular data structure to an array whose elements include the type, offset, alignment, and size of the data structure. Alignment padding bytes are removed and data structures are packed based upon the client computer's method of alignment, thus allowing the server application to "make it right" if necessary. Both the client and the server computer have access to the specific alignment rules..., lines 29-41 column 11). It would have been obvious to apply the teachings of Schofield to the system of O'Donnell because by accessing a platform-specific description of alignment of the primitive type, the system could generate transport-independent data structure as disclosed by Schofield (lines 48-65 column 3).

As to claim 2, O'Donnell as modified further teaches generating instructions for an accessor operation (pstat_getdynamic (&pd, sizeof(struct pst_dynamic), 1, 0), line 26

Application/Control Number: 09/512,621

Art Unit: 2194

of code table columns 7-8) to access a slot in the object (proc, line 31 of code table columns 5-6) holding a value for one of the one or more primitive types (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6).

As to claim 3, O'Donnell as modified further teaches generating instructions for a get operation (pstat_getproc(ps,sizeof(struct pst_status),nproc,0), line 29 of code table columns 7-8) to fetch a value for one of the one or more primitive types (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6) from a slot in the object (proc, line 31 of code table columns 5-6).

As to claim 4, O'Donnell as modified further teaches generating instructions for a set operation (ps = (struct pst_status *)(malloc(sizeof(struct pst_status) * nproc)), line 28 of code table columns 7-8) to store a value for one of the one or more primitive types (int exclude(proc), line 31 of code table columns 5-6) from a slot in the object (proc, line 31 of code table columns 5-6).

As to claim 5, O'Donnell as modified further teaches the one or more primitive types include integer type (int, line 31 of code table columns 5-6).

As to claim 6, O'Donnell as modified further teaches the primitive reference type is a native machine pointer type (pointer ps, line 28 of code table columns 7-8).

As to claim 8, it is a method claim of claim 1. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. O'Donnell as modified further teaches incompatible platforms (size of and struct, line 26 of code table columns 7-8).

As to claim 9, O'Donnell as modified further teaches the slots are located in the layouts for the incompatible platforms (pw and ps, lines 12 and 28 of code table

columns 7-8), when compiled by a corresponding platform-specific compiler (compiler, line 8 column 10), at same offsets (line 64 column 9 to line 12 column 10).

As to claims 10-15 and 17-18, they are computer readable medium claims of claims 1-6 and 8-9, respectively. Therefore, they are rejected for the same reasons as claims 1-6 and 8-9 above.

As to claim 19, it is a method claim of claim 8. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 8 above. O'Donnell as modified further teaches primitive types have sizes (parameter size, line 12 column 9) and padding element (number of bytes of the structure pst status, lines 66-67 column 9).

As to claim 20, it is a computer readable medium claim of claim 19. Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claim 19 above.

As to claim 21, it is a computer readable medium claim of claims 8-9 and 19.

Therefore, it is rejected for the same reasons as claims 8-9 and 19 above.

As to claim 22, O'Donnell as modified further teaches the sizes of the primitive type (parameter size, lines 9-27 column 9).

As to claim 23, Schofield further teaches the alignment restrictions for the primitive type (lines 29-41 column 11).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed 5/4/2005 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) rejection.

Applicant's arguments presented issues which required the Examiner to further view the previous rejection. The Examiner conducted a further search regarding the issues mentioned in Applicant's response. Therefore, all arguments regarding the cited references of the previous rejection are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andy Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-3762. A voice mail service is also available for this number. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday, 8:30 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIM) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or' Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 2194

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2100.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or fax to:

- AFTER-FINAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- OFFICAL faxes must be signed and sent to (571) 273 8300.
- NON OFFICAL faxes should not be signed, please send to (571) 273 3762

A.H July 25, 2005

SUE LAO